

DESCRIPTION

LARGE-HEAT-INPUT BUTT WELDED JOINTS HAVING
EXCELLENT BRITTLE FRACTURE RESISTANCE

5

[Technical Field]

The present invention relates to large-heat-input butt-welded joints having excellent brittle fracture resistance in welded structures and, particularly, those made by butt-welding steel plates having thicknesses greater than 50 mm.

[Background Art]

In welded structures, fractures are mostly likely to occur in welded joints. There are several reasons. One is that welding defects that occur during welding become stress concentrators where fractures start. Another reason is that welding heat coarsens the microstructure of steel plates and, as a result, lowers the fracture toughness Kc that is used as a measure of brittle fracture resistance in welded joints.

In order to prevent deformation and stress concentration in welded joints, it is a basic requirement, in forming welded joints, to make the strength and hardness of the weld metal higher than those of the base metal. That is to say, welded joints are designed to have greater strength than the base metal.

Fracture toughness of welded joints is evaluated by a deep notch test that pulls, in the directions indicated by arrows, a test specimen having, as a norm, a 240 mm long notch 3 machined in assumedly the most weak part of welded joint in the middle of a 400 mm wide specimen 1 having a weld metal 2 at the center thereof, as shown in Fig. 4.

Conventionally fracture toughness of welded joints in steel plates for ship structures not more than 50 mm thick have been evaluated by this test and the performance and characteristics required of steel plates

for ship construction have been considered.

Steel plates for ship construction having excellent brittle fracture and fatigue characteristics (TMCP steel plates) have been developed by considering the fracture toughness of welds (such as one disclosed in Japanese Unexamined Patent Publication No. 06-88161).

TMCP or other similar steel plates approximately 50 mm in thickness have been used for the construction of large tankers and container ships of not more than 6000 TEU. As construction needs for container ships larger than 6000 TEU have increased, steel plates 60 mm thick or more are being used.

While the upper limit of yield strength of steel plates for ship construction presently in use is approximately 390 MPa, thicker steel plates (such as those thicker than 50 mm) will be used as the size of container ships grows larger.

However, an excess steel plate thickness increase gives rise to various industrial problems, such as increases in welding man-hours, construction cost and the weight of container ships.

[Summary of the Invention]

As the size of container ships and other welded structures increases, it is now desired to construct container ships exceeding 6000 TEU by using high-tensile steel plates that are over 50 mm in thickness and have high design stresses.

As welded joints are the most likely spot for fracture, the inventors investigated the performance of large-heat-input welded joints formed by butt welding steel plates not less than 50 mm thick.

The investigation led to a finding that large-heat-input welded joints prepared by butt welding steel plates not less than 50 mm thick do not always show good fracture toughness Kc in the large-scale deep-notch test, though they show good results in the small-scale V-notch Charpy impact test.

Therefore, the object of the present invention is to provide, based on the above finding, welded joints having sufficiently high fracture toughness K_c by butt welding high-strength steel plates for welded ship construction having thickness greater than 50 mm and yield strength of the 460 MPa class.

In order to achieve the above object, the inventors investigated the mechanical properties of base metals and welded joints. In order to prevent deformation and stress concentration in welded joints, the inventors found a new joint design technology that chooses weld metals whose strength and hardness are greater than those of base metals in a break of conventional welded joint designs.

The inventors discovered that the lowering of joint strength by undermatching in the design of large-heat-input butt-welded joints can be prevented by controlling the hardness of the weld metal (that is, joint design by undermatching):

(a1) to not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal; or

(a2) to not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal, and, controlling, as required, the width of the weld metal:

(b) to not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal.

The inventors completed the present invention that provides a technology to provide welded joints having high fracture toughness K_c by welding with large-heat-input high-strength steel plates having yield strength of the 460 MPa class and thickness greater than 50 mm (preferably between over 50 mm and approximately 70 mm).

The gist of the present invention is as described below.

(1) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a1) the hardness of the weld metal is not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal.

5 (2) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a2) the hardness of the weld metal is not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal.

10 (3) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a1) the hardness of the weld metal is not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal, and

15 (b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal.

(4) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

20 (a2) the hardness of the weld metal is not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal, and

(b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the thickness of the base metal.

25 (5) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a1) the hardness of the weld metal is not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

30 (b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal, and

(c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the non-heat-affected base metal has a width not less than 5 mm.

35 (6) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a2) the hardness of the weld metal is not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

5 (b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal, and

(c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the base metal unaffected by heat has a width not less than 5 mm.

10 (7) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a1) the hardness of the weld metal is not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

15 (c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the base metal unaffected by heat has a width not less than 5 mm, and

20 (d) the prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) contacting the welding fusion line is not more than 200 μm .

(8) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

25 (a2) the hardness of the weld metal is not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

30 (c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the base metal unaffected by heat has a width not less than 5 mm, and

(d) the prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) contacting the welding fusion line is not more than 200 μm .

35 (9) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

(a1) the hardness of the weld metal is not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

(b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal,

5 (c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the non-heat-affected base metal has a width not less than 5 mm, and

10 (d) the prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) contacting the welding fusion line is not more than 200 μm .

(10) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance, is characterized by:

15 (a2) the hardness of the weld metal is not less than 70% and not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal,

(b) the width of the weld metal is not more than 70% of the plate thickness of the base metal,

20 (c) the width of the region affected by welding whose hardness is softened to not more than 95% of the hardness of the non-heat-affected base metal has a width not less than 5 mm, and

25 (d) the prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) contacting the welding fusion line is not more than 200 μm .

(11) A large-heat-input butt-welded joint of welded structures having excellent brittle fracture resistance according to any one of the items (1) to (10), is
30 characterized by that the welded structures are prepared by butt-welding high-strength steel plates over 50 mm in thickness.

35 The present invention forms welded joints with sufficiently high fracture toughness Kc in butt welding high-strength steel plates, in particular high-strength steel plates for welded ship construction, having yield strength of the 460 MPa class and thickness greater than

50 mm.

[Brief Description of the Drawings]

Fig. 1 shows the effect of the weld metal and base metal on fracture toughness K_{IC} .

5 Fig. 2 shows the relationship between the hardness ratio between the weld metal and base metal, bead width and joint strength.

Fig. 3 shows the crack opening stress distribution at points at given distances away, in the direction of crack propagation, from the notch tip where the CTOD (crack tip opening displacement) is 0.05 mm at the tip of notches made in the boundary (FL) between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ) and in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of 70 mm thick test specimens.

15 Fig. 4 shows a deep notch test specimen.

[The most Preferred Embodiment]

In order to prevent deformation and stress concentration, welded joints have conventionally been designed by making the strength and hardness of the weld metal greater than those of the base metal and welding materials whose strength overmatches that of the base metal have been chosen in the design of welded joints.

20 The inventors prepared a steel plate having yield strength of the 460 MPa class and made a welded joint by using a welding material that provides an overmatching weld metal and evaluated the mechanical properties of the welded joint by the deep notch test.

Said welded joint showed a sufficiently high value of not less than 90 J at a testing temperature of -20°C and a fairly good fracture surface transition temperature of -20°C in the V-notch Charpy test. In the deep notch test, however, fracture toughness K_{IC} was as low as not more than $2000 \text{ N/mm}^{1.5}$.

30 The obtained test result deviated greatly from the conventionally known "interrelation between the results of the V-notch Charpy and deep notch tests".

Detailed investigation of the fracture starting

points in the deep notch test led to the following findings:

5 (i) Fracture occurred in the boundary (that is, the fusion line (FL) between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ)).

(ii) The microstructure of the region in which fracture started was the same as that of the region in which fracture occurred in the Charpy test specimen.

10 The inventors also made the following finding by analyzing the distribution pattern of local stress that acts as the driving force in the deep notch and Charpy tests by three-dimensional finite element method:

(iii) The distribution pattern of local stress differs greatly in the deep notch and Charpy tests.

15 Fig. 3 shows an example of the crack opening stress distribution analyzed by the three-dimensional finite element method (FEM) at points at given distances away, in the direction of crack propagation, from the notch tip where the CTOD (crack tip opening displacement) is 0.05 mm at the tip of notches made in the boundary (FL) between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ) and in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of 70 mm thick test specimens.

This diagram shows that:

25 (iv) The constraining force in the direction of plate thickness increases greatly when plate thickness exceeds 50 mm and approaches approximately 70 mm and local stress increases greatly at the boundary between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ) when the strength of the weld metal (WM) is greater than the strength of the base metal (BM) or heat-affected zone (HAZ) (as indicated by □ (WM-H) and ■ (WM-L)).

30 When the strength of the weld metal (WM) is greater than the strength of the base metal (BM) or heat-affected zone (HAZ) (that is in the case WM-H), local stress does not increase and remains substantially equal to the case (WM-L) in which the strength in the weld metal (WM) is

35

low.

Thus, it can be considered that the lowering of K_c is due to the local stress increase at the boundary between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ) that occurs when the strength of the weld metal (WM) is greater than the strength in the base metal (BM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ) (that is, in the case WM-H).

Based on the above analysis, the inventors found that:

(v) In order to increase K_c by controlling the great increase in local stress at the boundary between the weld metal (WM) and heat-affected zone (HAZ), it is necessary to lower the strength of the weld metal (WM) as much as possible.

By determining the fracture toughness K_c by varying the hardness of the weld metal ($H_v(WM)$) based on the result of the above analysis and plotting the values of K_c vs. the "hardness of the weld metal [$H_v(WM)$]/hardness of the base metal [$H_v(BM)$]", it was found that the lowering of fracture toughness due to the increase in local stress can be prevented by controlling the hardness of the weld metal [$H_v(WM)$] to not more than 110% of the hardness of the base metal [$H_v(BM)$], as indicated by ● in Fig. 1.

It was discovered that it is necessary for increasing the fracture toughness K_c of welded joint to make the hardness of the weld metal [$H_v(WM)$] lower than the hardness of the base metal [$H_v(BM)$]. If, however, the hardness of the weld metal [$H_v(WM)$] is lowered, the strength (tensile strength) of welded joints decreases to such levels as will cause fatal problems in structures.

So, the lower limit of the weld metal strength required for securing as much strength as that of the base metal in welded joints was empirically studied.

Then, it was found that adequate strength (tensile strength) can be secured in welded joints even if the hardness of the weld metal [$H_v(WM)$] drops to 70% of the

hardness of the base metal [Hv(BM)] if the width of the weld metal (bead width) is limited to not more than 70% of the plate thickness in the region where the width of the weld metal (bead width) has a great effect, as shown in Fig. 2.

In order to secure the desired fracture toughness K_{IC} in welded joints, it is necessary to insure that local stress does not increase along the fusion line (FL) that is the weakest part of the welded joint, as mentioned earlier. At the same time, it is also important to enhance the microstatic brittle fracture resistance in and around the fusion line (FL).

Studies on the mechanism that create brittle fracture in the vicinity of the fusion line (FL) led to a finding that keeping the grain size of prior austenite small is conducive to improving the brittle fracture resistance because the pro-eutectoid ferrite in the vicinity of prior austenite and lath-like upper bainite and ferrite side plate in prior austenite become the starting point of fracture.

The result of the experiment conducted by the inventors indicates that it is preferable to keep the prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) contacting the fusion line (FL) at or below 200 μm .

The inventors also discovered that the occurrence and distribution of local stress along the fusion line (FL) in contact with the weld metal is governed by the hardness of the weld metal and there is a tendency that the local stress along the fusion line (FL) is lessened if the heat-affected zone (HAZ) in contact with the fusion line (FL) has a large "softened region".

It is preferable to insure that the softened region in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is not less than 5 mm in width as said lessening was observed when the width of the softened region in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) was not less than 5 mm in the experiment conducted by the inventors.

In principle, local stress decreases if the hardness of the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is lower than the hardness of the base metal. In the experiment conducted by the inventors, however, local stress decreased
5 definitely when the hardness of the heat-affected zone (HAZ) was lower than the hardness of the base metal by not less than 5%.

Therefore, it is preferable to insure that the region of the heat-affected zone that is softened to not
10 more than 95% of the hardness of the base metal unaffected by heat has a width not smaller than 5 mm.

The high-strength steel plates for welded structures and ship shells used with the present invention can be manufactured from structural steels for welding purposes
15 of known compositions.

Preferable steels are, for example, those comprising, by mass%, C of 0.02 to 0.20%, Si of 0.01 to 1.0%, Mn of 0.3 to 2.0%, Al of 0.001 to 0.20%, N of not more than 0.02%, P of not more than 0.01% and S of not
20 more than 0.01%, and containing one or more of Ni, Cr, Mo, Cu, W, Co, V, Nb, Ti, Zn, Ta, Hf, REM (rare-earth metal), Y, Ca, Mg, Te, Se and B, as required for the enhancement of base metal strength, joint toughness and other properties.

25 While thickness of plates is not specifically limited, it is preferable to apply the present invention to, for example, high-strength steel plates, for large ship shells, exceeding 50 mm in thickness.

Chemical composition and welding methods of welding
30 materials are also not particularly limited so long as the characteristics specified by the present invention are satisfied.

While it is preferable that welding materials comprise C of 0.01 to 0.06%, Si of 0.2 to 1.0%, Mn of 0.5
35 to 2.5%, Ni of 0 to 4.0%, Mo of 0 to 0.30%, Al of 0 to 0.3%, Mg of 0 to 0.30%, Ti of 0.02 to 0.25% and B of 0 to

0.050%, choice can be made as appropriate by considering the chemical composition of the steel plate.

Welding is performed by VEGA (single electrode oscillating electro gas welding), VEGA-II (double
5 electrode oscillating electro gas welding), EG (electro gas welding) and SAW (submerged arc welding).

In welding 70 mm thick steel plates with two welding wires within said composition range by VEGA-II, it is preferable, for example, to use a voltage of 42 V, a
10 current of 390 A, a welding speed of 4.2 m/min., a heat input of not less than 450 kJ/cm. It is also preferable that the groove angle, groove width and root gap are 20°, 33 mm and 8 mm, respectively.

In welding 70 mm thick steel plates by SAW, multi-
15 layer welding is done with a 4.8 mm diameter welding wire, a current of 650 A, a voltage of 33 V and a welding speed of 60 cm/min. Large-heat-input is done by packing the back side with copper or asbestos and increasing the current.

CO₂-welding is performed with, for example, 1.4 mm
20 diameter welding wire and a current of approximately 200 to 450 A. Welding conditions are not particularly limited to the examples described above. The effect of the present invention can be obtained by choosing
25 appropriate welding conditions and controlling the hardness of the weld metal and bead width to within the range specified by the present invention.

While welding conditions are not particularly limited so long as the hardness of the weld metal and
30 bead width are precisely controlled within the range specified by the present invention, electro gas welding with a consumable electrode, for example, is outside the scope of the present invention because the bead width becomes greater than the plate thickness.

35 Laser welding and electron beam welding, which can easily control the width of weld beads, are within the

scope of the present invention so long as the bead width and the hardness of the weld metal are controlled to within the range specified by the invention.

5 Welding methods that do not use welding materials tend to make the hardness of the weld metal greater than the hardness of the base metal because the weld metal is formed by the melting and solidification of the base metal. Therefore, such welding methods are outside the scope of the present invention if the hardness of the
10 weld metal is outside the range specified by the invention.

[Example]

The present invention is now described by reference to an example tested under the conditions employed to
15 confirm the practicability and effect of the invention. The present invention is not limited to said conditions.

The present invention can be practiced under various conditions and combinations thereof without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention so long as the
20 object of the invention is achieved.

(Example 1)

Characteristics and performance of welded joints were tested and investigated by using steel plates 50 to 100 mm in thickness. Table 1 shows the results. Tables
25 3 and 4 show the chemical compositions (types of steel) of the steel plates and the welding materials in the butt welds.

Welding was performed by VEGA, VEGA-II, EG and SAW under the conditions shown in Table 2.

30 The groove angle and root gap were 20 degrees V groove and 8 mm in VEGA, 20° V groove and 8 mm in VEGA-II and EG, and 40 degrees Y groove and 2 mm in SAW.

The hardness of the base metal [Hv(BM)] is the average hardness across the thickness of the steel plate that was determined by pressing a 10 kg indenter therein.
35 The hardness of the weld metal [Hv(WV)] is the hardness of the weld metal determined by pressing a 10 kg indenter

at the center of the thickness of the weld metal.

The bead width is the average of the values measured at the front and back sides and the center of the thickness of the weld metal.

5 The width of the softened region in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is the width of the region extending from the fusion line toward the base metal in which hardness softens by 5% from the hardness of the base metal.

10 The prior austenite grain size in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) is that in the heat-affected zone in contact with the fusion line expressed in terms of equivalent diameter.

15 The fracture surface transition temperature $vTrs$ ($^{\circ}C$) was determined by varying the testing temperature applied on the test specimens that were prepared so that the fusion line (FL), which is the weakest part of the welded joint, is at the center of the thickness thereof.

20 The fracture toughness Kc ($N/mm^{1.5}$) was determined by said deep-notch test at $-20^{\circ}C$. The values with the [$>$] mark indicate that, despite the trace of ductile cracks resulting from sufficient deformation of the notch in the test specimen, the specimen width of 400 mm inhibited further measurement of the Kc value.

25 The tensile strength of the welded joint (MPa) indicates the strength at which the NKU No. 1 test specimen fractured in the joint tensile test.

30 As shown in Table 1, test specimens Nos. 1 to 17 according to the present invention showed sufficient fracture toughness Kc because all conditions are within the ranges specified by the invention.

35 Test specimens Nos. 1 to 14 showed that welded joints have sufficient fracture toughness and tensile strength because the $Hv(WM)/Hv(BM)$, bead width/plate thickness and the width of the softened region in the heat-affected zone were within the specified ranges.

The Kc value of test specimen No. 14 was somewhat

lower than those of test specimens Nos. 1 to 13 because the width of the softened region in the heat-affected zone was smaller than the preferable range of the present invention. Still, the Kc value was as good as not lower than 3000 N/mm^{1.5}.

Test specimen No. 15 showed a sufficient Kc value as the fracture surface transition temperature vTrs was substantially equal to those with test specimens Nos. 1 to 14. However, the joint strength was low because the Hv(WM)/Hv(BM) ratio was lower than the preferable range.

Test specimens Nos. 16 and 17 showed low joint strength because the bead width/plate thickness ratio exceeded the preferable range of the present invention.

Specimens Nos. 18 to 22 tested for comparison showed low fracture toughness Kc in welded joints because the Hv(WM)/Hv(BM) ratio exceeded the upper limit specified by the present invention, though the fracture surface transition temperature vTrs in the Charpy test was substantially equal to those with test specimens Nos. 1 to 17 according to the present invention.

Thus, the present invention that provides appropriate fracture toughness Kc in welded joints of high-strength steel plates having a yield point of not less than 470 MPa and a thickness of not less than 50 mm is a novel invention.

Table 1

NO.	Butt-welded Joint					Characteristics of Welded Joint							Performance of Welded Joint		
	Type of Steel	Plate Thickness (mm)	Tensile Strength of Base Metal (MPa)	Welding Method	Welding Condition	Hv (BM)	Hv (WM)	Hv (BM) / Hv (WM)	Bead Width/ Plate Thickness	Width of Softened Region in HAZ (mm)	Prior Austenite Grain Size in HAZ (μm)	VTrs in Charpy Test (°C)	Kc (N/mm ^{1.5})	Tensile Strength of Joint (MPa)	
Specimens of the Present Invention	1	YP47	70	630	VEGA-II	EG-60M1	202	212	1.05	0.45	12	180	-5	4980	610
	2	YP47	70	620	EG	EG-60M2	204	200	0.98	0.67	15	190	-10	>5200	602
	3	YP47	65	650	EG	EG-60M3	210	151	0.72	0.66	13	170	-1	4200	590
	4	YP47	70	643	VEGA-II	EG-60M4	205	226	1.1	0.51	15	150	-3	4890	620
	5	YP40	70	570	VEGA-II	EG-3	175	186	1.06	0.4	18	165	-25	>5100	615
	6	YP47	60	630	VEGA-II	EG-60M1	215	204	0.95	0.46	16	175	2	4100	623
	7	YP47	55	620	VEGA	EG-60M1	210	208	0.99	0.61	7	55	-30	>5100	598
	8	YP47	70	610	VEGA-II	EG-60M3	200	206	1.03	0.45	17	120	-3	4230	602
	9	YP47	50	605	SAW	EG-60M1	195	205	1.05	0.68	8	45	-15	5100	620
	10	YP47	65	602	SAW	EG-60M2	210	227	1.08	0.5	11	58	-23	>5100	615
	11	YP47	75	610	SAW	EG-60M3	204	222	1.09	0.67	8	75	-10	4850	610
Specimens for Comparison	12	YP40	80	580	VEGA-II	EG-3	183	134	0.73	0.4	12	280	3	4100	590
	13	YP47	100	634	VEGA-II	EG-60M4	210	200	0.95	0.35	13	190	-8	4250	610
	14	YP47	55	640	SAW	EG-60M1	210	208	0.99	0.61	3	55	-25	3520	598
	15	YP47	70	635	EG	EG-60M2	205	133	0.65	0.51	15	150	-6	4720	502
	16	YP47	70	650	VEGA-II	EG-60M1	220	224	1.02	1.2	18	165	-28	>5100	490
	17	YP47	60	634	SEG-II	EG-60M3	215	204	0.95	0.8	16	175	-10	4100	520
	18	YP47	70	621	VEGA-II	EG-60	202	265	*1.31	0.45	12	180	-4	980	610
Specimens for Comparison	19	YP47	70	636	EG	EG-60	204	235	*1.15	0.67	15	190	-12	1500	602
	20	YP47	65	601	EG	EG-60	210	258	*1.23	0.66	13	170	-5	950	590
	21	YP47	70	633	VEGA-II	EG-60M	204	235	*1.15	1.2	15	190	-8	1500	460
	22	YP47	65	640	VEGA-II	EG-60M	210	258	*1.23	0.8	13	170	-3	980	480

* Outside the scope of the present invention

* Outside the scope of the present invention

Table 2

Welding Method		Welding Condition	Plate Thickness (mm)	Current I (A)	Voltage E (V)	Welding Speed v (cm/min)	Heat Input (kJ/cm)	Wire Diameter (mm)
VEGA		VS1	50	400	40	2.9	331	1.6
		VS2	55	400	40	2.5	383	1.6
		VS3	60	400	40	2.2	439	1.6
		VS4	65	400	40	1.9	498	1.6
VEGA-II		V1	55	420	42	6.5	326	1.6
		V2	60	420	42	6.0	353	1.6
		V3	70	420	42	4.5	470	1.6
		V4	80	420	42	4.0	529	1.6
		V5	100	440	44	3.5	664	1.6
EG		E1	60	420	42	3.0	353	1.6
		E2	65	420	42	2.5	423	1.6
		E3	70	420	42	2.1	504	1.6
SAW	Single pass welding	S1	55	Advance 2100	42	18	571	6.4
				Post 1600	52			6.4
	Seven pass welding	S2	65	Advance 1400	37	40	159	6.4
				Post 1200	45			6.4
		S3	75	Advance 1400	37	35	181	6.4
				Post 1200	45			6.4

Table 3

5

(mass%)

Type of Steel	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Ni	Ti
YP40	0.11	0.21	1.30	0.006	0.003		0.01
YP47	0.08	0.24	1.22	0.007	0.002	1.02	0.01

Table 4

(mass%)

Welding Material	C	Si	Mn	P	S	Mo	Ni
EG-1	0.10	0.33	1.45	0.015	0.010	0.27	
EG-3	0.08	0.29	1.85	0.011	0.008	0.15	
EG-60	0.10	0.34	1.68	0.016	0.100	0.29	
EG-60M	0.08	0.29	1.81	0.011	0.010	0.00	4.10
EG-60M1	0.07	0.29	1.81	0.011	0.010	0.10	1.50
EG-60M2	0.06	0.29	1.81	0.007	0.006	0.12	3.10
EG-60M3	0.03	0.29	1.81	0.011	0.010	0.25	1.00
EG-60M4	0.11	0.31	2.10	0.008	0.003	0.24	0.50

10

[Industrial Applicability]

The present invention prevents fatal damage and fracture of welded structures because brittle fracture is hardly occurs in large-heat-input welded joints of thick high-strength steel plates even when there are some welding defects and fatigue cracks occur and develop.

15

Thus, the present invention, that significantly enhances the safety of welded structures, has a great industrial applicability.